STATE OF ARIZONA FILED

STATE OF ARIZONA

JUL 1 5 1999

2				
---	--	--	--	--

In the Matter of:

BARRY CARTER,

Respondent.

Docket No. 99A-095-INS

NOTICE

DEPARTMENT OF INSURANCE

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

this matter.

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

On June 16, 1999, the Office of Administrative Hearings, through Administrative Law Judge Lewis D. Kowal, issued a Recommended Decision of Administrative Law Judge ("Recommended Decision"), a copy of which is attached and incorporated by this reference. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.08(B), the Director of the Department of Insurance declines to review the Recommended Decision. Under A.R.S. § 41-1092.08 (F)(1), the Recommended Decision is the final administrative decision in

NOTIFICATION OF RIGHTS

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 41-1092.09, the aggrieved party may request a rehearing with respect to this Order by filing a written motion with the Director of the Department of Insurance within 30 days of the date of this Order, setting forth the basis for relief under A.A.C. R20-6-114(B).

The final decision of the Director may be appealed to the Superior Court of Maricopa County for judicial review pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-904 and 20-166. A party filing an appeal must

1	notify the Office of Administrative Hearings of the appeal within ten days after filing the complaint
2	commencing the appeal, pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-904(B).
3	DATED this 15 of July, 1999
4	
5	Charles R. Cohen
6	Director of Insurance
7	A copy of the foregoing mailed
8	this <u>/5</u> day of July, 1999
9	Sara M. Begley, Deputy Director Gerrie L. Marks, Exec. Assistant for Regulatory Affairs
10	Catherine O'Neil, Legal Affairs Officer John Gagne, Assistant Director
11	Maureen Catalioto, Supervisor Department of Insurance
12	2910 N. 44th Street, Suite 210 Phoenix, AZ 85018
13	Office of Administrative Hearings
14	1400 W. Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, AZ 85007
15	Michael J. De La Cruz
16	Assistant Attorney General 1275 W. Washington
17	Phoenix, AZ 85007
18	Barry Carter 9426 E. Jenan Drive
19	Scottsdale, AZ 85260
20	
21	- Mithy bendu
22	

IN THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE HEARINGS

In the Matter of:

No. 99A-095-INS

BARRY CARTER,

Respondent.

RECOMMENDED DECISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

HEARING: June 9, 1999

<u>APPEARANCES</u>: Barry Carter on his own behalf; Assistant Attorney General Michael J. De La Cruz on behalf of the Arizona Department of Insurance

ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE: Lewis D. Kowal

FINDINGS OF FACT

- 1. On March 5, 1999, Barry Carter ("Mr. Carter") submitted an application for an individual life insurance agent license (the "Application") to the Arizona Department of Insurance (the "Department").
- 2. In the Application, Mr. Carter disclosed that on March 31, 1995, Judgment was entered against him in Terrence J. Walsh v. Barry Carter, Maricopa County Superior No. CV 93-20265. In that Judgment, the Court found that Mr. Carter treated the corporate funds of the Arizona Workers Assessment and Recovery Centers, Inc. ("AWARE") as his own; those funds were used to pay unauthorized expenses; that Mr. Carter made fraudulent representations to the Plaintiffs in order to induce them to loan money to AWARE and fraudulently failed to disclose material facts to Plaintiffs. The Court determined that AWARE was the alter ego of Mr. Carter and that Mr. Carter be held personally responsible for AWARE's indebtedness to Plaintiffs.
- 3. In the above-mentioned civil proceeding, the Court awarded judgment to Plaintiffs and against Mr. and Mrs. Carter in the amount of \$27,500.00 plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from April 7, 1992 until paid; and in the principal amount of

Office of Administrative Hearings 1400 West Washington, Suite 101 Phoenix, Arizona 85007 (602) 542-9826

2

3

1

4 5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

202122

23 24

25

27

26

28 29

30

\$44,000.00 plus interest at the rate of 10% per annum from April 16, 1992 until paid, for taxable costs in the amount of \$367.50; and for attorney's fees in the amount of \$10,000.00.

- 4. On March 17, 1999, the Department denied the Application pursuant to A.R.S. §§20-290(B)(2) and (B)(3). On April 14, 1999, Mr. Carter timely filed a request for hearing on the denial of the Applications.
- 5. During the hearing, Mr. Carter admitted responsibility for the above-mentioned judgment and represented that he had been making payments on the judgment until he depleted his savings and could not afford further payments until he secured employment. Mr. Carter represented that, if he obtains an insurance agent's license, he intends to satisfy the judgment and resume making payments. Mr. Carter testified that he has paid approximately \$18,000.00 on the judgment.
- 6. Mr. Carter's background shows him to be interested in the community with respect to sports. Mr. Carter has acted as a volunteer coach for Arizona State University's ("ASU") rugby team, has been involved in organizing Formula 1 racing in Europe and currently acts as an assistant coach for ASU's women's rugby team.
- 7. Since his involvement in AWARE, Mr. Carter has worked as a consultant in sales and marketing for several companies dealing with health foods and sports nutrition.
- 8. After the issuance of the above-mentioned judgment, Mr. Carter was employed in several capacities, which necessarily involved his ability to control funds. One of those positions was in a business venture initiated by Professor Robert Kaplan ("Professor Kaplan"). Professor Kaplan testified that Mr. Carter's control and use of corporate funds as well as the record keeping and accountability of the funds were done properly and in an efficient manner.
- 9. The credible evidence of record establishes that Mr. Carter does not have any criminal history.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

16

24

25

20

28

- During the hearing, Mr. Carter presented the testimony of four witnesses who testified as to Mr. Carter's good character, reputation in the business community and that he is a person of high integrity.
- 11. Two of the witnesses, E. Reid Southern ("Mr. Southern"), an attorney admitted to practice in the State of Arizona who has known him since the mid-1970s, and Professor Kaplan, a retired professor of Economics and Finance who has known him since 1980, were made aware of the above-mentioned judgment through disclosures made by Mr. Carter, have loaned Mr. Carter monies that were subsequently repaid in full, have had business dealings with Mr. Carter, have become friends of Mr. Carter through those business dealings, and trust him with funds.
- The other two character witnesses, Richard Garrido ("Mr. Garrido") and David Leastman ("Mr. Leastman"), are employed by the College Fund Life Division of Mid-West National Life Insurance Company of Tennessee ("College Fund"), with whom Mr. Carter has been offered a position if he is successful in obtaining an insurance agent's license. Mr. Carter had the same business opportunity available when he applied for an insurance agent's license in March, 1998, that was denied. After the denial, although unusual, because of the favorable impression Mr. Carter had made, the College Fund created a consultant position for him. As a consultant for the College Fund, Mr. Carter assists in arranging seminars at businesses and schools. In that capacity, Mr. Carter does not sell any insurance policies. If Mr. Carter were licensed, he could be used more effectively by the College Fund and engage in the sale of its program, which necessarily involves the selling of life insurance policies.
- 13. Prior to being offered employment with the College Fund, Mr. Carter disclosed the existence of the above-mentioned judgment. Both Mr. Garrido and Mr. Leastman testified very highly of Mr. Carter in terms of his character, work ethic and accomplishments.
- The testimony of Messrs. Carter, Southern, Kaplan, Garrido, and Leastman as set forth above, is determined to be credible.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- 1. Based on the above Findings of Fact, Mr. Carter has a record of dishonesty in business or financial matters, within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-290(B)(2).
- 2. Based on the above Findings of Fact, Mr. Carter has a record of misappropriation, conversion or irregular withholding of monies belonging to policyholders, insurers, beneficiaries or others received in the conduct of business in this state or elsewhere, within the meaning of A.R.S. §20-290 (B)(3).
- 3. The Director has discretionary authority pursuant to A.R.S. §§20-290 (B)(2) and (B)(3) to deny the Application.
- 4. Mr. Carter sustained his burden by establishing by a preponderance of the evidence that he is qualified to hold an insurance agent's license and that the Department's denial of the Application should be reversed.

RECOMMENDED ORDER

Under the particular facts and circumstances of this matter, and notwithstanding the above-determinations that Mr. Carter has a record of misappropriation, conversion or irregular withholding of monies belonging to others and a record of dishonesty in business or financial matters, the Administrative Law Judge recommends that the Director exercise his discretion favorably by reversing the license denial and granting the Application.

Done this day, June 16, 1999.

LEWIS D. KOWAL

Administrative Law Judge

Original transmitted by mail this //o day of June, 1999, to:

Charles R. Cohen, Director Department of Insurance ATTN: Curvey Burton

2910 North 44th Street, Ste. 210

Phoenix, AZ 85018

By Mis Crustered Thomeson